Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/541,586	WATANABE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael J. Feely	1796
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Michael J. Feely.	(3)	
(2) Burton A. Amernick (Reg. No. 24,852).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 August 2009.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☑ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: 1.11 and 32.		
Identification of prior art discussed: Hiroshige et al. (US 6.309.502); Shinozaki et al. (US 2002.0009597).		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \boxtimes was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. Id: Americal provided proposed amendments to claims. If and 32 least attachment, The following was concluded in the Americal Representation of the proposed amendments on the prior and of second, (2) the amounted of claims I is should be modified to be consistent with the processed amounte of claim II (3) claims 32 should feature the flanquages. If sheet one clicuts substitute selected from the group consistent of the should feature the flanquages. If sheet one clicuts substitute selected from the group consistent of the should feature that engages are fined one clicuts substitute selected from the group consistent of the should share the group consistent of the selected from the group consistent of the should share the should be should be supported to the should be should be supported to the should be should be supported to the should be should		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUSSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW, (See MPEP Section 7130.) Is reply to the last Office section has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DIATE. OR THE MAIL MOD DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DIAMARY FORM. WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUSSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements or reverse side or on attached sheet.		

/Michael J Feely/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796